THERMOSOLUTAL INSTABILITY IN COMPRESSIBLE VISCOELASTIC DUSTY FLUID THROUGH POROUS MEDIUM

P. KUMAR^{*} and H. MOHAN Department of Mathematics, ICDEOL Himachal Pradesh University Shimla-171005, INDIA E-mail: drpardeep@sancharnet.in; pkdureja@gmail.com E-mail: hm math hpu@rediffmail.com

Thermosolutal instability in a compressible Walters B' viscoelastic fluid with suspended particles through a porous medium is considered. Following the linearized stability theory and normal mode analysis, the dispersion relation is obtained. For stationary convection, the Walters B' viscoelastic fluid behaves like a Newtonian fluid and it is found that suspended particles and medium permeability have a destabilizing effect whereas the stable solute gradient and compressibility have a stabilizing effect on the system. Graphs have been plotted by giving numerical values to the parameters to depict the stability characteristics. The stable solute gradient and viscoelasticity are found to introduce oscillatory modes in the system which are non-existent in their absence.

Key words: thermosolutal instability, compressible Walters B' viscoelastic fluid, suspended particles, porous medium.

1. Introduction

The theoretical and experimental results of the onset of thermal instability (Bénard convection) in a fluid layer under varying assumptions of hydrodynamics have been discussed in detail by Chandrasekhar (1961) in his celebrated monograph. The problem of thermohaline convection in a layer of a fluid heated from below and subjected to a stable salinity gradient was considered by Veronis (1965). The physics is quite similar in the stellar case in which helium acts like salt in raising the density and in diffusing more slowly than heat. The conditions under which convective motions are important in stellar atmospheres are usually far removed from consideration of a single component fluid and rigid boundaries, and therefore it is desirable to consider a fluid acted on by a solute gradient and free boundaries. The problem of thermosolutal instability in fluids through a porous medium is of importance in geophysics, soil sciences, ground-water hydrology and astrophysics. The development of geothermal power resources holds increased general interest in the study of the properties of convection in a porous medium. The scientific importance of the field has also increased because hydrothermal circulation is the dominant heat transfer mechanism in the development of young oceanic crust (Lister, 1972). Generally it is accepted that comets consists of a dusty "snowball" of a mixture of frozen gases which, in the process of their journey, changes from solid to gas and vice-versa. The physical properties of comets, meteorites and interplanetary dust strongly suggest the importance of porosity in the astrophysical context. Mounting evidence, both theoretical and experimental, suggests that Darcy's equation provides an unsatisfactory description of the hydrodynamic conditions, particularly near the boundaries of a porous medium. Beavers et al. (1970) demonstrated experimentally the existence of shear within the porous medium near surface, where the porous medium is exposed to a freely flowing fluid, thus forming a zone of shear-induced flow field. Darcy's equation however, cannot predict the existence of such a boundary zone, since no macroscopic shear term is included in this equation (Joseph and

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed

Tao, 1964). To be mathematically compatible with the Navier-Stokes equations and physically consistent with the experimentally observed boundary shear zone mentioned above, Brinkman proposed the

introduction of the term $\frac{\mu}{\epsilon} \nabla^2 q$ in addition to $-\left(\frac{\mu}{k_I}\right) q$ in the equations of fluid motion. Stommel and

Fedorov (1967) and Linden (1974) remarked that the length scales characteristic of double-diffusive convecting layers in the oceans could be sufficiently large for the Earth's rotation to become important in their formation. Moreover, the rotation of the Earth distorts the boundaries of a hexagonal convection cell in a fluid through a porous medium, and distortion plays an important role in the extraction of energy in geothermal regions. Brakke (1955) explained a double-diffusive instability that occurs when a solution of a slowly diffusing protein is laid over a denser solution of more rapidly diffusing source. Nason *et al.* (1969) found that this instability, which is deleterious to certain biochemical separations, can be suppressed by rotation in the ultracentrifuge.

In geophysical situations, the fluid is often not pure but contains suspended particles. Scanlon and Segel (1973) considered the effects of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection and found that the critical Rayleigh number is reduced because of the heat capacity of the particles. The suspended particles were thus found to destabilize the layer. Palaniswamy and Purushotham (1981) studied the stability of shear flow of stratified fluids with fine dust and found the effects of fine dust to increase the region of instability

The Boussinesq approximation is used throughout the paper. It states that variations of density in the equations of motion can safely be ignored everywhere except its association with the external force. The approximation is well justified in the case of incompressible fluids. When the fluids are compressible, the equations governing the system become quite complicated. To simplify them, Boussinesq tried to justify the approximation for compressible fluids when the density variations arise principally from thermal effects. Spiegel and Veronis' (1960) simplified the set of equations governing the flow of compressible fluids under the following assumptions:

(a) the depth of the fluid layer is much less than the scale-height, and

(b) the fluctuations in temperature, density and pressure, introduced due to motion, do not exceed their total static variations.

There is growing importance of non-Newtonian fluids in geophysical fluid dynamics, chemical technology and petroleum industry. Bhatia and Steiner (1972) studied the problem of thermal instability of a Maxwellian viscoelastic fluid in the presence of rotation and found that rotation has a destabilizing influence in contrast to the stabilizing effect on an ordinary viscous (Newtonian) fluid. The thermal instability of an Oldroydian viscoelastic fluid acted on by a uniform rotation was studied by Sharma (1976). There are many elastico-viscous fluids that cannot be characterized by Maxwell's or Oldroyd's constitutive relations. One such class of viscoelastic fluids is the Walters B' fluid (1960) having relevance and importance in geophysical fluid dynamics, chemical technology, and petroleum industry. Walters' (1962) reported that the mixture of polymethyl methacrylate and pyridine at $25^{0}C$ containing 30.5g of polymer per litre with density 0.98g per litre behaves very nearly as the Walters B' viscoelastic fluid. Polymers are used in the manufacture of spacecrafts, aeroplanes, tyres, belt conveyers, ropes, cushions, seats, foams, plastics engineering equipments, contact lens, etc. Walters B' viscoelastic fluids form the basis for the manufacture of many important and useful products. Chakraborty and Sengupta (1994) studied the flow of an unsteady viscoelastic (Walters B' liquid) conducting fluid through two porous concentric non-conducting infinite circular cylinders rotating with different angular velocities in the presence of a uniform axial magnetic field. Sharma and Kumar (1997) studied the stability of the plane interface separating two viscoelastic (Walters B') superposed fluids of uniform densities. In another study, Sharma and Kumar (1998) studied Rayleigh-Taylor instability of superposed conducting Walters B' viscoelastic fluids in hydromagnetics. Kumar (2001) considered the thermal instability of a layer of a Walters B' viscoelastic fluid acted on by a uniform rotation and found that for stationary convection, rotation has a stabilizing effect. Kumar et al. (2006) considered the stability of plane interface separating the Walters B' viscoelastic superposed fluids of uniform densities in the presence of suspended particles.

Keeping in mind the importance in geophysics, astrophysics and various applications mentioned above, the thermosolutal instability in a compressible Walters B' viscoelastic fluid with suspended particles through a porous medium is considered in the paper.

2. Formulation of the problem and perturbation equations

Here we consider an infinite horizontal, compressible Walters B' viscoelastic fluid-particle layer of thickness d bounded by the planes z = 0 and z = d in a porous medium of porosity ε and permeability k_I . This layer is heated from below and subjected to a stable solute gradient such that the steady adverse temperature gradient $\beta \left(= \left| \frac{dT}{dz} \right| \right)$ and a solute concentration gradient $\beta' \left(= \left| \frac{dC}{dz} \right| \right)$ are maintained.

Let ρ , μ , μ' , p and q(u, v, w) denote respectively the density, viscosity, viscoelasticity, pressure and filter velocity of the pure fluid; $q_d(\bar{x}, t)$ and $N(\bar{x}, t)$ denote filter velocity and number density of the particles, respectively. If g is acceleration due to gravity, $K = 6\pi\rho\nu\eta$ where η is the particle radius, $q_d = (l, r, s)$, $\bar{x} = (x, y, z)$ and $\lambda_I = (0, 0, I)$, then the equations of motion and continuity for the Walters B' viscoelastic fluid permeated with suspended particles in a porous medium are

$$\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}{\partial t} + \frac{l}{\varepsilon} (\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{q} \right] = -\nabla p - \rho g \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{l} + \left(\mu - \mu' \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon} \nabla^{2} - \frac{l}{k_{l}} \right) \boldsymbol{q} + \frac{KN}{\varepsilon} (\boldsymbol{q}_{d} - \boldsymbol{q}),$$
(2.1)

$$\left(\varepsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{q}\cdot\nabla\right)\rho + \rho\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{q} = 0.$$
(2.2)

Since the distances between particles are assumed to be quite large compared with their diameter, the interparticle relations, buoyancy force, Darcian force and pressure force on the particles are ignored. Therefore, the equations of motion and continuity for the particles are

$$mN\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_d}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\boldsymbol{q}_d \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{q}_d\right] = KN(\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{q}_d), \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(N \boldsymbol{q}_d \right) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Let C_v, C_p, C_{pt}, T, C and k_c denote respectively the heat capacity of the fluid at constant volume, heat capacity of the fluid at constant pressure, heat capacity of particles, temperature, solute concentration and "effective thermal conductivity" of the fluid. Let C'_v, C'_{pt} and k'_c denote the analogous solute coefficients. When particles and the fluid are in thermal and solute equilibrium, the equations of heat and solute conduction give

$$\left[\rho C_{v}\varepsilon + \rho_{S}C_{S}\left(1-\varepsilon\right)\right]\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho C_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\nabla\right)T + mNC_{pt}\left(\varepsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{q}_{d}\cdot\nabla\right)T = k_{c}\nabla^{2}T,$$
(2.5)

$$\left[\rho C_{\nu}'\varepsilon + \rho_{S}C_{S}'(1-\varepsilon)\right]\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \rho C_{\nu}'(\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\nabla)C + mNC_{pt}'\left(\varepsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{q}_{d}\cdot\nabla\right)C = k_{c}'\nabla^{2}C$$
(2.6)

where ρ_S , C_S are the density and heat capacity of the solid matrix, respectively.

Spiegel and Veronis (1960) expressed any state variable (pressure, density or temperature), say X, in the form

$$X = X_m + X_o(z) + X'(x, y, z, t)$$
(2.7)

where X_m stands for the constant space distribution of X, X_o is the variation in X in the absence of motion, and X'(x, y, z, t) stands for the fluctuations in X due to the motion of the fluid. Following Spiegel and Veronis (1960), we have

$$T(z) = -\beta z + T_0,$$

$$p(z) = p_m - g \int_0^z (\rho_m + \rho_0) dz,$$

$$\rho(x) = \rho_m \Big[1 - \alpha (T - T_m) + \alpha' (C - C_m) + \alpha'' (p - p_m) \Big],$$

$$\alpha = - \Big(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \Big), \qquad \alpha' = \Big(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial C} \Big), \qquad \alpha'' = \Big(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} \Big).$$

Here p_m , ρ_m stand for the space distribution of p and ρ , and T_0 , ρ_0 stand for the temperature and density of the fluid at the lower boundary (and in the absence of motion).

Since density variations are mainly due to variations in temperature and solute concentrations, Eqs (2.1)-(2.6) must be supplemented by the equation of state

$$\rho(z) = \rho_m \left[I - \alpha \left(T - T_m \right) + \alpha' \left(C - C_m \right) \right].$$
(2.8)

Let $\delta \rho$, δp , θ , γ , q, q_d and N denote the perturbations in fluid density ρ , pressure p, temperature T, solute concentration C, fluid velocity (0, 0, 0), particles velocity (0, 0, 0) and particle number density N_0 , respectively. Then the linearized perturbation equations, under the Spiegel and Veronis (1960) assumptions, are

$$\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}{\partial t} = -\frac{l}{\rho_m}\nabla\delta \boldsymbol{p} - g\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho_m}\right)\boldsymbol{\lambda}_l + \left(\boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{\nu}'\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\nabla^2 - \frac{l}{k_l}\right)\boldsymbol{q} + \frac{KN_0}{\rho_m\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{q}_d - \boldsymbol{q}), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0},\tag{2.10}$$

$$mN_0 \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_d}{\partial t} = KN_0 \left(\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{q}_d \right), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(N_0 \boldsymbol{q}_d \right) = 0 , \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\left(E+h\varepsilon\right)\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = \left(\beta - \frac{g}{C_p}\right)\left(w+hs\right) + \kappa\nabla^2\theta,$$
(2.13)

$$\left(E'+h'\varepsilon\right)\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial t} = \beta' \left(w+h's\right) + \kappa' \nabla^2\gamma.$$
(2.14)

Here

$$E = \varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\rho_S C_S}{\rho_m C_v}, \qquad E' = \varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\rho_S C'_S}{\rho_m C'_v},$$
$$h = \frac{f C_{pt}}{C_v}, \qquad h' = \frac{f C'_{pt}}{C'_v}, \qquad f = \frac{m N_0}{\rho_m}, \qquad \kappa = \frac{k_c}{\rho_m C_v}, \qquad \kappa' = \frac{k'_c}{\rho_m C'_v}$$

and $\delta \rho = -\rho_m (\alpha \theta - \alpha' \gamma).$

Using d, $\frac{d^2}{\kappa}$, $\frac{\kappa}{d}$, $\frac{\rho v \kappa}{d^2}$, βd and $\beta' d$ to denote the length, time, velocity, pressure, temperature and solute concentration scale factors, respectively, the linearized perturbation equations become

$$p_{I}^{-I}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}^{*}}{\partial t^{*}} = -\nabla^{*}\delta p^{*} + R\theta^{*}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{I} - S\gamma^{*}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{I} + \left(I - A\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\nabla^{*2} - \frac{l}{P}\right)\boldsymbol{q}^{*} + \omega\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}^{*} - \boldsymbol{q}^{*}\right), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\nabla^* \cdot \boldsymbol{q}^* = \boldsymbol{0}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\left(\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t^*} + I\right) \boldsymbol{q}_d^* = \boldsymbol{q}^*, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial t^*} + \nabla^* \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_d^*\right) = 0, \qquad (2.18)$$

$$\left(E+h\varepsilon\right)\frac{\partial\theta^*}{\partial t^*} = \frac{G-I}{G}\left(w^*+hs^*\right) + \nabla^{*2}\theta^*,\tag{2.19}$$

$$\left(E'+h'\varepsilon\right)\frac{\partial\gamma^*}{\partial t^*} = \left(w^*+h's^*\right) + \frac{1}{\lambda}\nabla^{*2}\gamma^*$$
(2.20)

where

$$P = \frac{k_l}{d^2}, \qquad G = \frac{C_p \beta}{g}, \qquad p_l = \frac{\varepsilon \nu}{\kappa}, \qquad R = \frac{g \alpha \beta d^4}{\nu \kappa}, \qquad S = \frac{g \alpha' \beta' d^4}{\nu \kappa'}, \qquad M = \frac{\varepsilon N}{N_0},$$

$$\omega = \frac{KN_0 d^2}{\rho_m v\varepsilon}, \quad \tau = \frac{m\kappa}{Kd^2}, \quad f = \frac{mN_0}{\rho_m} = \tau \omega p, \quad A = \left(\frac{\nu'}{\nu}\right) \frac{\kappa}{d^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa'},$$

and starred (*) quantities are expressed in a dimensionless form. Hereafter, we suppress the stars for convenience.

Eliminating q_d from Eq.(2.15) with the help of Eq.(2.17) and then eliminating $u, v, \delta p$ from the three scalar Eqs of (2.15), and using (2.16), we obtain

$$\left[L_1 - L_2 \left(I - A\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon} \nabla^2 - \frac{l}{P}\right)\right] \nabla^2 w = L_2 \left(R \nabla_I^2 \theta - S \nabla_I^2 \gamma\right), \tag{2.21}$$

$$L_2\left[\left(E+h\varepsilon\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\nabla^2\right]\theta = \left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)\left(\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+H\right)w,$$
(2.22)

$$L_2\left[\left(E'+h'\varepsilon\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{l}{\lambda}\nabla^2\right]\gamma = \left(\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+H'\right)w$$
(2.23)

where

$$L_{I} = p_{I}^{-I} \left(\tau \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} + F \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \qquad L_{2} = \left(\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + I \right), \qquad \nabla_{I}^{2} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}},$$
$$\nabla^{2} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}, \qquad F = f + I, \qquad H = h + I, \qquad H' = h' + I.$$

3. Dispersion relation

Decomposing the perturbations into normal modes by seeking solutions in the form of functions of x, y, t

$$[w, \theta, \gamma] = [W(z), \Theta(z), \Gamma(z)] \exp(ik_x x + ik_y y + nt)$$
(3.1)

where *n* is, in general, complex, and $k = \left(k_x^2 + k_y^2\right)^{1/2}$ is the wave number of disturbance.

Eliminating θ and γ between Eqs (2.21)-(2.23) and using expression (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_1 + \frac{L_2}{P} (1 - An) - \frac{L_2}{\varepsilon} (1 - An) (D^2 - k^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D^2 - k^2 - n(E + h\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D^2 - k^2 - \lambda n(E' + h'\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} (D^2 - k^2) W =$$

$$= \left(\frac{G - I}{G}\right) (\tau n + H) Rk^2 \begin{bmatrix} D^2 - k^2 - \lambda n(E' + h'\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} W - \lambda (\tau n + H') Sk^2 \begin{bmatrix} D^2 - k^2 - n(E + h\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} W,$$
(3.2)

where $L_{I} = p_{I}^{-1} (\tau n^{2} + Fn), L_{2} = \tau n + I \text{ and } D = \frac{d}{dz}.$

4. The stationary convection

When the instability sets in as stationary convection, the marginal state will be characterized by n = 0. Putting n = 0, the dispersion relation (3.2) reduces to

$$\left[\frac{1}{P} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(D^2 - k^2\right)\right] \left(D^2 - k^2\right)^2 W = \left(\frac{G}{G - I}\right) k^2 R H W - \lambda k^2 S H' W.$$

$$\tag{4.1}$$

We thus find that for stationary convection the viscoelastic parameter A vanishes with n and the Walters B' viscoelastic fluid behaves like an ordinary Newtonian fluid.

Considering the case of two free boundaries, it can be shown that all the even order derivatives of W vanish on the boundaries and hence the proper solution of Eq.(4.1) characterizing the lowest mode is

$$W = W_0 \sin \pi z \tag{4.2}$$

where W_0 is a constant. Substituting the solution (4.2) in Eq.(4.1), we obtain

$$R = \frac{\left(\frac{G}{G-I}\right)\left[\left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{\pi^2 + k^2}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\pi^2 + k^2\right)^2 + \lambda k^2 H'S\right]}{k^2 H}.$$
(4.3)

If R_C denotes the critical Rayleigh number in the absence of compressibility and \overline{R}_C stands for the critical Rayleigh number in the presence of compressibility, then we find that

$$\overline{R}_C = \left(\frac{G}{G-I}\right) R_C.$$

Since the critical Rayleigh number is positive and finite, so G > 1 and we obtain a stabilizing effect of compressibility as its result is to postpone the onset of thermosolutal convection in a fluid-particle layer of a porous medium.

To study the effect of medium permeability, suspended particles and the stable solute gradient, we examine the nature of $\frac{dR}{dP}$, $\frac{dR}{dH}$ and $\frac{dR}{dS}$ analytically.

Equation (4.3) yields

$$\frac{dR}{dP} = -\left(\frac{G}{G-I}\right) \frac{\left(\pi^2 + k^2\right)^2}{k^2 H P^2},$$
(4.4)

$$\frac{dR}{dH} = -\left(\frac{G}{G-I}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{\pi^2 + k^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \left(\pi^2 + k^2\right)^2 + \lambda k^2 H'S}{k^2 H^2},$$
(4.5)

and

$$\frac{dR}{dS} = \lambda \left(\frac{G}{G-I}\right) \frac{H'}{H}.$$
(4.6)

It is clear from Eqs (4.4)-(4.6) that for stationary convection the medium permeability and suspended particles hasten the onset of convection whereas the stable solute gradient postpones the onset of convection in the Walters B' compressible viscoelastic fluid permeated with suspended particles, heated and soluted from below in a porous medium.

We now examine the dispersion relation (4.3) numerically. We plotted the Rayleigh number versus the medium permeability P, suspended particles parameter H and stable solute gradient S in Figs 1-3, respectively.



Fig.1. The variation of the Rayleigh number (R) with medium permeability (P) for fixed values of G = 10, H' = 5, $\lambda = 1$, S = 10, H = 5, $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and k = 1, 2.

In Fig.1, the Rayleigh number R is plotted against medium permeability P for fixed values of G = 10, H' = 5, $\lambda = 1$, S = 10, H = 5, $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and k = 1, 2. As the value of medium permeability increases, the corresponding value of the Rayleigh number decreases, showing its destabilizing effect on the system.



Fig.2. The variation of Rayleigh number (R) with suspended particles (H) for fixed values of G = 10, P=1, H' = 5, $\lambda = 1$, S=10, $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and k=1, 2.

In Fig.2, the Rayleigh number R is plotted against suspended particles parameter H for fixed values of G = 10, P = 1, H' = 5, $\lambda = 1$, S = 10, $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and k = 1, 2. The Rayleigh number decreases with the increase in the suspended particles parameter showing its destabilizing effect on the system.



Fig.3. The variation of the Rayleigh number (R) with stable solute gradient (S) for fixed values of G = 10, P=1, H'=5, $\lambda = 1$, H=5, $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and k=1, 2.

Figure 3 shows the variation of R with respect to the stable solute gradient S for fixed values of G = 10, P = 1, H' = 5, $\lambda = 1$, H = 5, $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and k = 1, 2. The Rayleigh number R increases with an increase in the stable solute gradient parameter S, showing its stabilizing effect on the system.

Thus, the medium permeability and suspended particles parameter have a destabilizing effect whereas the stable solute gradient has a stabilizing effect on the Walters B' compressible viscoelastic fluid permeated with suspended particles, heated and soluted from below in a porous medium.

5. Principle of exchange of stabilities and oscillatory modes

Let

$$U = (D^{2} - k^{2})W \quad \text{and} \quad X = \left[L_{I} + \frac{L_{2}}{P}(I - An) - \frac{L_{2}}{\varepsilon}(I - An)(D^{2} - k^{2})\right]U. \quad (5.1)$$

In terms of X, the equation satisfied by W [cf. Eq.(3.2)] is

$$\begin{bmatrix} D^{2} - k^{2} - n(E + h\epsilon) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D^{2} - k^{2} - \lambda n(E' + h'\epsilon) \end{bmatrix} X =$$

= $k^{2} \left(\frac{G - l}{G} \right) R(\tau n + H) \begin{bmatrix} D^{2} - k^{2} - \lambda n(E' + h'\epsilon) \end{bmatrix} W - \lambda k^{2} S(\tau n + H')$
 $\begin{bmatrix} D^{2} - k^{2} - n(E + h\epsilon) \end{bmatrix} W.$ (5.2)

Here we consider the case of two free surfaces having uniform temperature and solute concentration. The boundary conditions appropriate for the problem are

$$W = D^2 W = 0$$
, $\Theta = 0$, $T = 0$ at $z = 0$ and I . (5.3)

Multiplying Eq.(5.2) by X^* , the complex conjugate of X, integrating over the range of z and using the boundary conditions (5.3), we get

$$I_{I} + n \Big[(E + h\varepsilon) + \lambda (E' + h'\varepsilon) \Big] I_{2} + \lambda n^{2} (E + h\varepsilon) (E' + h'\varepsilon) I_{3} =$$

$$= k^{2} \Big(\frac{G - l}{G} \Big) R (\tau n + H) \Big(L_{I}^{*} + \frac{L_{2}^{*}}{P} (l - An) \Big) \Big[I_{4} + \lambda n (E' + h'\varepsilon) I_{5} \Big] +$$

$$-\lambda k^{2} S (\tau n + H') \Big(L_{I}^{*} + \frac{L_{2}^{*}}{P} (l - An) \Big) \Big[I_{4} + \lambda n (E + h'\varepsilon) I_{5} \Big] +$$

$$+ k^{2} \frac{L_{2}^{*}}{\varepsilon} \Big[\Big(\frac{G - l}{G} \Big) R (\tau n + H) - \lambda S (\tau n + H') \Big] I_{6} +$$

$$+ k^{2} \lambda n \frac{L_{2}^{*}}{\varepsilon} (l - An) \Big[\Big(\frac{G - l}{G} \Big) R (\tau n + H) (E' + h'\varepsilon) - S (\tau n + H') (E + h\varepsilon) \Big] I_{7}$$
(5.4)

where

$$I_{I} = \int_{0}^{l} \left(\left| D^{2} X \right|^{2} + 2k^{2} \left| D X \right|^{2} + k^{4} \left| X \right|^{2} \right) dz, \qquad I_{2} = \int_{0}^{l} \left(\left| D X \right|^{2} + k^{2} \left| X \right|^{2} \right) dz,$$

$$I_{3} = \int_{0}^{l} \left(\left| X \right|^{2} \right) dz, \qquad I_{4} = \int_{0}^{l} \left(\left| U \right|^{2} \right) dz, \qquad I_{5} = \int_{0}^{l} \left(\left| D W \right|^{2} + k^{2} \left| W \right|^{2} \right) dz, \qquad (5.5)$$

$$I_{6} = \int_{0}^{l} \left(\left| D U \right|^{2} + k^{2} \left| U \right|^{2} \right) dz, \qquad I_{7} = \int_{0}^{l} \left(\left| D^{2} W \right|^{2} + 2k^{2} \left| D W \right|^{2} + k^{4} \left| W \right|^{2} \right) dz,$$

which are all positive definite.

Putting $n = in_0$, where n_0 is real, into Eq.(5.4) and equating imaginary parts, we obtain

$$n_{\theta}^{2} = \frac{\left[\left(E+h\epsilon\right)+\lambda\left(E'+h'\epsilon\right)\right]I_{2}+k^{2}\left[\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)R\left\{\frac{\tau h}{P}+H\left(\frac{F}{P_{1}}-\frac{A}{P}\right)\right\}+}{\left[-\lambda S\left\{\frac{\tau h'}{P}+H'\left(\frac{F}{P_{1}}-\frac{A}{P}\right)\right\}\right]I_{4}+\lambda k^{2}\left[S\left(E+h\epsilon\right)H'-\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)RH\left(E'+h'\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\frac{I_{5}}{P}+\frac{I_{7}}{\epsilon}\right)+}{\left[+\frac{\tau k^{2}}{\epsilon}\left[\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)RH-\lambda Sh'\right]I_{6}+\frac{Ak^{2}}{\epsilon}\left[\lambda SH'-\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)RH\right]I_{6}\right]},$$

$$n_{\theta}^{2} = \frac{\left[k^{2}\tau^{2}\left\{\lambda S\left(p_{1}^{-I}-\frac{A}{P}\right)-\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)Rp_{1}^{-I}\right]I_{4}+\left[k^{2}R\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)\left\{\frac{\tau}{P}\right\}\left(\tau A+\tau\lambda\overline{E'+h'\epsilon}+AH\lambda\overline{E'+h'\epsilon}\right)+\right]I_{5}+\left[k^{2}\tau^{2}A\left[\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)R-\lambda S\right]I_{6}+\left[k^{2}\tau^{2}A\left[\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)R-\lambda S\right]I_{6}+\left[k^{2}\lambda\left[\tau^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{G-I}{G}\right)R\left(E'+h'\epsilon\right)-S\left(E+h\epsilon\right)\right\}+\tau A\left\{\left[\frac{G-I}{G}\right]Rh\left(E'+h'\epsilon\right)+\right]\right]I_{7}\right]\right]$$
(5.6)

or

$$n_0 = 0.$$
 (5.7)

Equations (5.6) and (5.7) imply that $n_0 \neq 0$ or $n_0 = 0$, which means that modes may be oscillatory or non-oscillatory. In the absence of the stable solute gradient and viscoelastic parameter, Eqs (5.6) and (5.7) become

$$n_0^2 = -\frac{\left(\frac{G}{G-I}\right)(E+h\epsilon)I_2 + k^2 R\left\{p_l^{-1}HF + \frac{\tau h}{P}\right\}I_4 + \frac{k^2\tau}{\epsilon}RhI_6}{k^2\tau^2 Rp_l^{-1}I_4},$$
(5.8)

or

$$n_0 = 0.$$
 (5.9)

Since the integrals are positive definite and n_0 is real, it follows that $n_0 = 0$. and the principle of exchange of stabilities is satisfied, in the absence of the stable solute gradient and viscoelastic parameter. In the presence of the stable solute gradient and viscoelastic parameter, the principle of exchange of stabilities is not satisfied and oscillatory modes come into play. Thus, the presence of the stable solute gradient and viscoelastic parameter introduces oscillatory modes which were non-existent in their absence.

6. Conclusions

The effect of suspended particles on thermosolutal instability in a layer of the compressible Walters B' viscoelastic fluid heated and soluted from below through a porous medium is considered in the paper. The investigation of thermosolutal instability is motivated by its complexities as a double diffusion phenomena as well as its direct relevance to geophysics and astrophysics. The main conclusions from the analysis presented in this paper are as follows:

- (a) For the case of stationary convection the following observations are made:
 - (i) The viscoelastic parameter vanishes with n and the Walters B' viscoelastic fluid behaves like an ordinary Newtonian fluid.
 - (ii) The stable solute gradient and compressibility have a stabilizing effect on the system.
 - (iii) The suspended particles and medium permeability have a destabilizing effect on the system.
- (b) It is also observed from Figs 1-3 that suspended particles and medium permeability haved destabilizing effect whereas the stable solute gradient has a stabilizing effect on the system.
- (c) It is observed that the stable solute gradient and viscoelastic parameter introduce oscillatory modes in the system, which were non-existent in their absence.
- (d) In the absence of the stable solute gradient and viscoelastic parameter, oscillatory modes are not allowed and the principle of exchange of stabilities is valid.

Nomenclature

- C solute concentration
- C_p heat capacity of fluid at constant pressure
- C_{pt} heat capacity of particles
- C_S heat capacity of the solid matrix
- C_v heat capacity of fluid at constant volume
- C'_{v}, C'_{pt}, k'_{c} analogous solute coefficients
 - g acceleration due to gravity
 - \tilde{H} suspended particles parameter
 - k resultant wave number
 - k_1 medium permeability
 - k_c effective thermal conductivity
 - k_x, k_y wave numbers in x- and y-directions
 - N perturbation in particle number density N_0
 - n growth rate
 - P medium permeability parameter
 - p pressure
 - q_d filter velocity of the particles
 - q(u, v, w) filter velocity of the pure fluid
 - R Rayleigh number
 - R_C critical Rayleigh number in the absence of compressibility
 - \overline{R}_{C} critical Rayleigh number in the presence of compressibility
 - S stable solute gradient parameter
 - T temperature
 - β adverse temperature gradient
 - β' solute concentration gradient
 - γ perturbation in solute concentration *C*
 - $\delta\rho~-$ perturbation in fluid density ρ

- δp perturbation in fluid pressure p
- ϵ medium porosity
- ρ fluid density
- μ fluid viscosity
- μ' fluid viscoelasticity
- v kinematic viscosity
- v' kinematic viscoelasticity
- ρ_S density of the solid matrix
- θ perturbation in temperature T

References

- Beavers G.S., Sparrow E.M. and Magnuson R.A. (1970): *Experiments on coupled parallel flows in a channels and a bounding porous medium.* J. Basic Engng. Trans. ASME, vol.D92, pp.843-845.
- Bhatia P.K. and Steiner J.M. (1972): Convective instability in a rotating viscoelastic fluid layer. Z. Angew. Math. Mech., vol.52, pp.321-324.
- Brakke M.K. (1955): Zone electrophoresis of dyes, proteins and viruses in density-gradient columns of sucrose solutions. Arch. Biochem. Biophysics, vol.55, pp.175-190.
- Chakraborty G. and Sengupta P.R. (1994): *MHD flow of unsteady viscoelastic (Walters Liquid B') conducting fluid between two porous concentric circular cylinders.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sciences India, vol.64, pp.75-81.
- Chandrasekhar S. (1961): Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.
- Joseph D.D. and Tao L.N. (1964): *The effect of permeability on the slow motion of a porous sphere in a viscous liquid.* Zeit. Angew. Math. Mech., vol.44, pp.361-365.
- Kumar P. (2001): Effect of rotation on thermal instability in Walters B' elastico-viscous fluid. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, vol.71, pp.33-41.
- Kumar P., Mohan H. and Singh G.J. (2006): Stability of two superposed viscoelastic fluid-particle mixtures. Z. Angew. Math. Mech., vol.86, pp.72-77.
- Lister P.F. (1972): On the thermal balance of a mid-ocean ridge. Geophysical J. Royal Astronomical Soc., vol.26, pp.515-535.
- Linden P.F. (1974): Salt fingers in a steady shear flow. Geophys. Fluid Dynamics, vol.6, pp.1.
- Nason P., Schumaker V., Halsall B. and Schwedes J.: Formation of a streaming convective disturbance which may occur at one gravity during preparation of samples for zone centrifugation. Biopolymers, vol.7, pp.241-249.
- Palaniswamy V.I. and Purushotham C.M. (1981): Stability of shear flow of stratified fluids with fine dust. Phys. Fluids, vol.24, pp.1224-1229.
- Scanlon J.W. and Segel L.A. (1973): Some effects of suspended particles on the onset of Benard convection. Phys. Fluids, vol.16, pp.1573-1578.
- Sharma R.C. (1976): *Effect of rotation on thermal instability of a viscoelastic fluid.* Acta Physica Hung., vol.40, pp.11-17.
- Sharma R.C. and Kumar P. (1997): On the stability of two superposed Walters elastico-viscous liquid B'. Czech. J. Phys., vol.47, pp.197-204.
- Sharma R.C. and Kumar P. (1998): Rayleigh-Taylor instability of two superposed conducting Walters B' elasticoviscous fluids in hydromagnetics. – Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, vol.68, pp.151-161.
- Spiegel E.A. and Veronis G. (1960): On the Boussinesq approximation for a compressible fluid. Astrophys. J., vol.131, pp.442-447.

- Stommel H. and Fedorov K.N. (1967): Small scale structure in temperature and salinity near timor and mindano. Tellus, vol.19, pp.306-325.
- Veronis G. (1965): On finite amplitude instability in thermohaline convection. J. Marine Research, vol.23, pp.1-17.
- Walters K. (1960): *The motion of elastico-viscous liquid contained between coaxial cylinders*. J. Mech. Appl. Math., vol.13, pp.444-453.
- Walters K. (1962): The solution of flow problems in case of materials with memory. J. Mecanique, vol.1, pp.469-479.

Received: October 10, 2011 Revised: July 28, 2012